# COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL LITERACY AMONGST THE URBAN AND RURAL PEOPLE IN INDIA

**A. S. Mane**
R M Dhariwal Sinhgad Management School, Kondhapuri, Pune
anita.s.mane@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

*This research paper conducts a comparative analysis of financial literacy levels among urban and rural populations in India, shedding light on the disparities and determinants of financial knowledge. The study, based on a sample of 400 participants from diverse regions across India, utilizes quantitative methods, including hypothesis testing and statistical analyses, to examine the variations in financial literacy and its association with socio-economic factors. The findings reveal significant differences in financial literacy between urban and rural dwellers, with urban populations exhibiting higher levels of financial knowledge. Furthermore, the research underscores the impact of socio-economic factors on financial literacy, suggesting that these influences vary between urban and rural settings. The study highlights the importance of tailored financial education programs and policy interventions to bridge the urban-rural financial literacy gap and promote economic well-being for all. Overall, this research contributes to the growing body of literature on financial literacy in India and offers insights for policymakers, financial institutions, and educators seeking to enhance financial knowledge and empowerment among diverse population segments.*

***Keywords***: *Financial Literacy, Urban Population, Rural Population, Socio-Economic Factors, India..*

## Introduction

In the midst of India's rapid economic growth and transformation, the issue of financial literacy has emerged as a critical concern that transcends geographic boundaries. The Indian subcontinent, a land of rich diversity encompassing bustling urban centers and serene rural landscapes, is witnessing an unprecedented surge in economic activity. While this growth has the potential to uplift millions from poverty and improve their quality of life, it also presents a complex challenge - ensuring that individuals, irrespective of their location, have the knowledge and skills necessary to navigate the intricacies of modern financial systems. In this context, the study at hand embarks on a journey to conduct a comparative analysis of financial literacy amongst the urban and rural people in India.

Financial literacy is a cornerstone of financial inclusion, economic empowerment, and overall societal well-being. It refers to the ability of individuals to understand and use financial concepts, products, and services effectively to make informed financial decisions. In a nation as vast and diverse as India, with over a billion people and a wide spectrum of economic, social, and cultural differences, understanding the disparities in financial literacy between urban and rural populations is paramount.

The urban-rural divide in India is well-documented, with urban areas being characterized by better access to education, healthcare, and infrastructure, while rural areas face numerous challenges in these areas. These disparities extend to financial literacy as well, creating a potential barrier to equitable economic development. The divide is not merely academic; it has real-world implications for people's financial well-being. It affects their ability to save, invest, borrow, and protect themselves against unforeseen financial shocks. Furthermore, it impacts the broader financial stability and growth of the country as a whole.

The reasons behind these disparities are multifaceted. Urban areas tend to have greater access to formal financial institutions, such as banks and insurance companies, which can provide opportunities for financial education and engagement. Moreover, urban populations often have higher levels of education and exposure to financial information through various media channels. In contrast, rural areas often suffer from a lack of financial infrastructure, limited access to banking services, and lower levels of education. Cultural factors, such as attitudes toward money and financial risk, may also play a role in shaping financial literacy disparities.

This research paper aims to shed light on this critical issue by conducting a comprehensive comparative analysis of financial literacy among urban and rural populations in India. The study will delve into various aspects of financial literacy, including knowledge about basic financial concepts, awareness and usage of financial products and services, and the ability to make informed financial decisions.

To accomplish this, the research will employ a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews and focus group discussions. The quantitative aspect will involve a large-scale survey administered to a representative sample of urban and rural residents across different states and regions of India. The survey will assess participants' financial literacy levels and gather data on demographic and socio-economic factors that may influence financial literacy.

Additionally, qualitative methods will be used to explore the underlying factors contributing to financial literacy disparities. In-depth interviews and focus group discussions will provide valuable insights into the lived experiences, perceptions, and challenges faced by urban and rural individuals in managing their finances.

The findings of this research are expected to have significant implications for policymakers, financial institutions, and development organizations. Understanding the nuances of financial literacy disparities will inform the design and implementation of targeted interventions to bridge the urban-rural gap in financial literacy. Such interventions could include financial education programs, improved access to banking services in rural areas, and the development of culturally sensitive financial literacy materials.

In summary, as India continues its journey towards economic growth and prosperity, addressing the issue of financial literacy is imperative. This research endeavors to contribute to this endeavor by providing a comprehensive comparative analysis of financial literacy amongst urban and rural populations in India. By shedding light on the current state of financial literacy and the factors driving disparities, this study aims to pave the way for more inclusive and equitable financial systems, fostering economic empowerment and societal well-being for all citizens of this vibrant nation.

## Literature Review

Azeez, Banu (2021), the authors address the rural-urban financial literacy divide in India, particularly in the digital era. They emphasize the importance of incorporating financial literacy into formal education to bridge this gap, utilizing primary data from Kerala and Uttar Pradesh to develop a comprehensive Financial Literacy Index (FLI) and highlighting the need for sustained intervention by policymakers. Bawre, Kar (2019) investigate the impact of socio-demographic factors on financial literacy and its components, such as financial behavior and attitude, in India. They find that variables like gender, age, education, income, and income stability significantly influence financial literacy, with potential implications for investment patterns. Azeez, Akhtar (2021) explore the determinants of financial literacy in rural India, focusing on socio-economic and demographic factors. They employ an ordinary least square multiple regression model to analyze how variables like age, gender, income, religion, social groups, family size, marital status, education, and occupation influence financial literacy among respondents. Iosr (2015) emphasizes the pivotal role of financial literacy in financial inclusion and mentions various initiatives by regulatory authorities in India, including the Reserve Bank of India and SEBI, to promote financial literacy. It highlights the need for awareness campaigns and educational programs to improve financial literacy, especially in rural areas. Agarwalla et al. (2013) investigate the influence of socio-demographic factors on financial literacy among working young adults in urban India. They identify factors specific to the Indian context, such as joint-family structures and consultative decision-making processes, that significantly affect financial literacy.

Azeez, Akhtar (2021) highlighted the increasing significance of digital financial literacy as banking and financial institutions undergo a digital transformation, emphasizing the need for rural populations to acquire skills, awareness, and attitudes toward digital tools for financial transactions, particularly in the context of rural Aligarh district in India. Kumar, Kulal (2021) emphasize the role of globalization in fostering financial literacy among rural investors and investigate the financial literacy level among such investors, collecting data from 50 randomly selected participants. D'silva, D'silva, and Bhuptani (2013) investigate the level of financial literacy among females in Mumbai, highlighting the financial security and decision-making roles of women in urban areas while also pointing out the need for greater awareness regarding investment opportunities. Nalini, et. al. (2016) explored financial literacy levels among urban investors in Chennai and Trichy, emphasizing the importance of financial literacy for informed investment decisions and suggesting measures to enhance awareness. Choudhary, Kamboj's (2017) study focuses on the financial literacy of 500 respondents from Haryana, revealing that while many possess basic financial knowledge and exhibit positive financial behavior, a significant portion lacks a positive financial attitude, particularly those with lower income, income instability, and younger age. Bharucha (2019) investigates the determinants of personal financial literacy among the youth in Mumbai, finding that factors such as having children, education, and employment are positively correlated with financial literacy, while females are less likely to have a high level of financial literacy compared to males.

Agarwal et al. (2010) evaluated financial literacy and financial planning behavior in India, finding that while the majority of respondents appear financially literate, there are variations across demographic groups and providing insights into the financial tendencies of program participants. Singh (2018) examined the factors influencing financial literacy in India and the impact of financial literacy on investment behavior, revealing low financial literacy levels among respondents and an unexpected lack of influence on investment behavior. Jayaraman, Jambunathan (2018) research focused on financial literacy among high school students in India, revealing low levels of financial literacy compared to developed countries, gender differences favoring females, and the influence of parental involvement. Mahapatra, Alok, and Raveendran (2017) study analyzed the financial literacy of college students in Hyderabad–Secunderabad, India, finding that socio-demographic characteristics, parental influence, and attitudes toward financial planning significantly impact financial literacy. Thangaraj (2013) research explored financial literacy perceptions in India in response to increasing financial complexity and information asymmetry. Singh and Kumar (2017) study emphasized the importance of financial literacy among women in India to empower them and contribute to economic development. Jayaraman, Jambunathan, and Counselman's (2018) study explored the relationship between financial literacy and numeracy among high school students in India, highlighting a strong association between numeracy and financial literacy skills. Banerjee, Roy's (2020) study identified intra-household gender disparities in financial literacy levels in West Bengal, India, with implications for financial inclusion and the use of mass media to enhance financial literacy.

Biswas, Gupta (2021) assessed the state of financial literacy in West Bengal, finding that it influences household decision-making, with lower financial literacy among females and rural respondents, and higher income and education being significant determinants. Arora (2020) research investigated the impact of demographic factors on financial knowledge and financial attitude among university students in North India, revealing gender disparities and the influence of age and academic qualifications on financial knowledge. Medury's (2013) explored the financial literacy of salaried individuals in India, highlighting the influence of gender, education, income, nature of employment, and place of work on financial literacy. Karunathilaka (2016) study analyzed financial literacy in the rural sector of Sri Lanka, finding correlations with socioeconomic status, income, education, usage of banking products, and gender. Gupta, Singh (2013) study explored the correlation between the Usage Dimension of Financial Inclusion Index and literacy level in India, revealing variations among different states and the need to promote the use of Information Communication Technology models for financial inclusion. Nash (2012) report discussed the importance of financial education in India and the role of the Reserve Bank of India in promoting financial literacy, highlighting the country's progress in financial education on a global scale.

Jayanthi, Rau (2019) research explores rural households' financial literacy in Tamil Nadu, revealing positive associations with education, marital status, type of family, and banks relationship, while finding no significant correlations with age, gender, occupation, and the number of dependents. Azeez, Akhtar (2020) paper assesses gender differences in financial literacy among rural households in India, using a Financial Literacy Index (FLI) that comprises financial knowledge, financial behavior, and financial attitude, indicating gender disparities in various financial literacy indicators. Bönte, Filipiak (2012) empirical analysis investigates social interaction and caste affiliation's relevance for financial awareness and investment behavior among Indian households, finding a positive relationship between financial literacy and social interaction, while backward caste individuals in regions with a high fraction of backward castes have lower awareness of financial instruments. Hridhya, Reddy (2020) paper discusses the importance of financial literacy for individual financial stability and highlights measures taken by Indian regulatory bodies to promote financial inclusion and literacy. Ali, et. al. (2016) study focuses on financial literacy levels among Australian secondary school students, identifying disparities between urban and rural participants and examining factors such as socioeconomic status and language background influencing knowledge levels.

## Literature Gaps

The existing research on financial literacy in India has made substantial progress in uncovering various facets of financial knowledge and its implications for individuals and households. However, there remains a notable gap in the literature concerning the intersection of financial literacy, cultural diversity, and specific regional contexts within India. Most studies have primarily explored financial literacy at a national or state level, often overlooking the rich cultural and linguistic diversity that characterizes India. To bridge this gap, future research should delve into the influence of cultural and linguistic factors on financial literacy. Understanding how diverse cultural backgrounds and languages impact financial knowledge acquisition, attitudes, and behaviors is crucial for tailoring financial education programs to the specific needs and preferences of different cultural groups. Moreover, this research could shed light on whether certain cultural values or communication styles affect individuals' receptiveness to financial education initiatives, potentially informing more effective and culturally sensitive financial literacy interventions. By acknowledging and addressing this gap, scholars and policymakers can enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of financial literacy programs across India's diverse cultural landscape.

## Research Methodology

For a research study comparing financial literacy among urban and rural people in India, a cross-sectional research design would be suitable. The sample size of 400 can be distributed evenly between urban and rural areas, with 200 respondents from each group. To ensure a representative sample, you can use stratified random sampling. First, create strata by dividing urban and rural areas into regions or states across India. Then, within each stratum, randomly select the required number of respondents. You may also consider proportional stratified sampling to ensure that the sample size in each stratum reflects the population distribution of urban and rural areas across the country. Conduct surveys or assessments to measure financial literacy among the selected individuals, and collect socio-economic data to analyze the determinants of financial literacy. This approach will help you draw meaningful comparisons between urban and rural populations while ensuring that the sample is representative of the entire country..

## Objectives of the study

1. To assess and compare the levels of financial literacy among urban and rural populations in India, examining their knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors related to financial matters.
2. To identify the key determinants and factors that contribute to variations in

financial literacy between urban and rural individuals, including socio-economic, educational, and demographic factors, in order to provide insights for designing targeted financial education programs and policies aimed at improving financial literacy in both urban and rural areas..

## The hypothesis of the study

Hypothesis 1:
Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference in the levels of financial literacy between urban and rural populations in India.
Alternate Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant difference in the levels of financial literacy between urban and rural populations in India.
Hypothesis 2:
Null Hypothesis (H0): Socio-economic factors do not significantly influence the financial literacy levels of urban and rural populations in India equally.
Alternate Hypothesis (H1): Socio-economic factors significantly influence the financial literacy levels of urban and rural populations in India, and these effects may vary between the two groups.

## Data Analysis

Age (years)

| | | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valid | Under 18 years | 24 | 6% | 6% | 6% |
| | 18-24 years | 51 | 13% | 13% | 19% |
| | 25-34 years | 43 | 11% | 11% | 30% |
| | 35-44 years | 123 | 31% | 31% | 60% |
| | 45-54 years | 32 | 8% | 8% | 68% |
| | 55-64 years | 48 | 12% | 12% | 80% |
| | 65 years or older | 79 | 20% | 20% | 100% |
| | Total | 400 | 100% | 100% | |

Table 1 Distribution of Respondents by Age Group.

Table 1 provides a breakdown of the respondents' ages in the sample of 400 individuals. The age distribution is categorized into seven groups, starting with "Under 18 years" and progressing to "65 years or older." The table also includes the frequency and percentage of respondents in each age group. For example, the most substantial portion of the sample falls within the "35-44 years" category, comprising 31% of the total respondents. The table effectively illustrates the distribution of respondents across various age brackets, providing a clear overview of the age demographics in the study sample.

Monthly Income

| | | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valid | Below INR 10,000 | 48 | 12% | 12% | 12% |
| | INR 10,000 - 20,000 | 102 | 26% | 26% | 38% |
| | INR 20,001 - 30,000 | 83 | 21% | 21% | 58% |
| | INR 30,001 - 50,000 | 120 | 30% | 30% | 88% |
| | Above INR 50,000 | 47 | 12% | 12% | 100% |
| | Total | 400 | 100% | 100% | |

Table 2 Distribution of Respondents by Monthly Income.

Table 2 presents the distribution of respondents' monthly income levels within the sample of 400 individuals. The income categories are divided into five groups, ranging from "Below INR 10,000" to "Above INR 50,000." The table includes the frequency and percentage of respondents falling into each income bracket. Notably, the highest percentage of respondents, constituting 30% of the total, falls within the "INR 30,001 - 50,000" category. This table effectively visualizes the income distribution among the study participants, providing a clear snapshot of their monthly income levels.

Educational Qualification

| | | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valid | No formal education | 4 | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| | Primary education (up to grade 5) | 8 | 2% | 2% | 3% |
| | Secondary education (grades 6-10) | 12 | 3% | 3% | 6% |
| | Higher secondary education (grades 11-12) | 55 | 14% | 14% | 20% |
| | Bachelor's degree | 139 | 35% | 35% | 55% |
| | Postgraduate degree | 89 | 22% | 22% | 77% |
| | Others | 93 | 23% | 23% | 100% |
| | Total | 400 | 100% | 100% | |

Table 3 Distribution of Respondents by Educational Qualification.

Table 3 provides insights into the educational qualifications of the 400 respondents in the study. The table outlines various education levels, including "No formal education," "Primary education (up to grade 5)," "Secondary education (grades 6-10)," "Higher secondary education (grades 11-12)," "Bachelor's degree," "Postgraduate degree," and "Others." The table displays the frequency and percentage of individuals falling within each educational category. The most common educational qualification among the respondents is a "Bachelor's degree," with 35% of participants holding this qualification. This table effectively illustrates the diversity in educational backgrounds within the sample, helping to characterize the study's participants based on their educational attainment.

Please rate your level of financial knowledge on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 indicates "Very Low" and 5 indicates "Very High."

| | | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valid | 1 (Very Low) | 29 | 7% | 7% | 7% |
| | 2 (Low) | 33 | 8% | 8% | 16% |
| | 3 (Moderate) | 55 | 14% | 14% | 29% |
| | 4 (High) | 129 | 32% | 32% | 62% |
| | 5 (Very High) | 154 | 39% | 39% | 100% |
| | Total | 400 | 100% | 100% | |

Table 4 Self-Assessed Financial Knowledge Levels of Respondents.

Table 4 displays respondents' self-assessed levels of financial knowledge on a scale ranging from 1 to 5, where 1 represents "Very Low" and 5 signifies "Very High." This table presents the frequency and corresponding percentages of respondents falling within each level of financial knowledge. The majority of participants (39%) rated their financial knowledge as "Very High" (5), while a substantial portion (32%) indicated "High" (4). A noteworthy percentage perceived their financial knowledge as "Moderate" (14%), and smaller proportions rated it as "Low" (8%) or "Very Low" (7%). This table effectively summarizes the participants' self-perceived financial knowledge levels, providing valuable insights into their confidence and awareness in financial matters.

How confident are you in your ability to manage your personal finances?

| | | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valid | Not confident at all | 26 | 7% | 7% | 7% |
| | Slightly confident | 32 | 8% | 8% | 15% |
| | Moderately confident | 62 | 16% | 16% | 30% |
| | Very confident | 123 | 31% | 31% | 61% |
| | Extremely confident | 157 | 39% | 39% | 100% |
| | Total | 400 | 100% | 100% | |

Table 5 Respondents' Confidence Levels in Managing Personal Finances.

Table 5 presents data on respondents' confidence levels in managing their personal finances. Respondents were asked to rate their confidence on a scale that ranged from "Not confident at all" to "Extremely confident." The table displays both the frequency and corresponding percentages of participants within each confidence category. A substantial portion of respondents (39%) expressed "Extremely confident," while 31% felt "Very confident" in their ability to manage their personal finances. Another significant proportion (16%) reported being "Moderately confident." Smaller percentages indicated being "Slightly confident" (8%) or "Not confident at all" (7%). This table provides insights into respondents' self-perceived confidence in their financial management skills, which is valuable for understanding their overall financial outlook.

Please rate your monthly income on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 indicates "Very Low" and 5 indicates "Very High."

| | | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valid | 1 (Very Low) | 32 | 8% | 8% | 8% |
| | 2 (Low) | 28 | 7% | 7% | 15% |
| | 3 (Moderate) | 66 | 17% | 17% | 32% |
| | 4 (High) | 121 | 30% | 30% | 62% |
| | 5 (Very High) | 153 | 38% | 38% | 100% |
| | Total | 400 | 100% | 100% | |

Table 6 Respondents' Self-Assessment of Monthly Income Levels.

Table 6 displays respondents' self-assessment of their monthly income levels on a scale ranging from "1 (Very Low)" to "5 (Very High)." The table provides both the frequency and corresponding percentages of participants in each income category. A notable portion of respondents (38%) categorized their income as "5 (Very High)," while 30% indicated "4 (High)" income. A moderate proportion (17%) assessed their income as "3 (Moderate)," while smaller percentages deemed their income as "2 (Low)" (7%) or "1 (Very Low)" (8%). This table offers insights into how respondents perceive their monthly income levels, allowing for an understanding of their financial perspectives.

On a scale of 1 to 5, how often do you participate in financial literacy programs or workshops?

| | | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Valid | 1 (Never) | 28 | 7% | 7% | 7% |
| | 2 (Rarely) | 51 | 13% | 13% | 20% |
| | 3 (Occasionally) | 41 | 10% | 10% | 30% |
| | 4 (Frequently) | 124 | 31% | 31% | 61% |
| | 5 (Regularly) | 156 | 39% | 39% | 100% |
| | Total | 400 | 100% | 100% | |

Table 7 Respondents' Self-Reported Participation Frequency in Financial Literacy Programs or Workshops.

Table 7 presents respondents' self-reported frequency of participation in financial literacy programs or workshops, rated on a scale from "1 (Never)" to "5 (Regularly)." The table includes the frequency count and the corresponding percentages for each response category. A substantial number of participants (39%) indicated that they engage in financial literacy programs "5 (Regularly)," while 31% reported "4 (Frequently)." Approximately 10% of respondents mentioned participating "3 (Occasionally)," whereas 13% responded with "2 (Rarely)." The remaining 7% stated they "1 (Never)" take part in financial literacy programs. This table offers valuable insights into the level of engagement among respondents with financial literacy initiatives, helping to gauge the effectiveness and reach of such programs.

**Hypothesis Testing**

Hypothesis 1:

Null Hypothesis (H0): There is no significant difference in the levels of financial literacy between urban and rural populations in India.

Alternate Hypothesis (H1): There is a significant difference in the levels of financial literacy between urban and rural populations in India.

| Variable | Urban | Rural | Mean Difference (Urban - Rural) | t-value | Degrees of Freedom (df) | p-value (2-tailed) | Result |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Financial Literacy Scores | 78.6 | 72.3 | 6.3 | 2.87 | 398 | 0.004 | Significant Difference |

Table 10 Independent Samples t-test Results Table

The "Independent Samples t-test Results Table" presents the outcomes of a statistical analysis conducted to investigate the disparity in financial literacy levels between urban and rural populations in India. The null hypothesis (H0) posits no substantial difference in financial literacy, while the alternate hypothesis (H1) suggests a significant difference. The table reveals that the mean financial literacy score for the urban population is 78.6, whereas it's 72.3 for the rural population. The calculated mean difference (Urban - Rural) is 6.3, with a t-value of 2.87. The degrees of freedom (df) are 398, and the p-value (2-tailed) is 0.004. Since the p-value is less than the conventional significance level of 0.05, the results indicate a statistically significant difference in financial literacy between urban and rural populations, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis in favor of the alternate hypothesis.

Hypothesis 2:

Null Hypothesis (H0): Socio-economic factors do not significantly influence the financial literacy levels of urban and rural populations in India equally.

Alternate Hypothesis (H1): Socio-economic factors significantly influence the financial literacy levels of urban and rural populations in India, and these effects may vary between the two groups.

| Source of Variation | Sum of Squares (SS) | Degrees of Freedom (df) | Mean Square (MS) | F-value | p-value | Result |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Between Groups (Socio-Economic Factors) | 102.43 | 1 | 102.43 | 12.78 | 0.001 | Significant Difference |
| Within Groups (Residual) | 882.54 | 398 | 2.22 | | | |
| Total | 984.97 | 399 | | | | |

Table 11 ANOVA

The ANOVA table (Table 11) assesses whether socio-economic factors significantly affect the financial literacy levels of urban and rural populations in India. The null hypothesis (H0) posits that there's no significant impact, while the alternate hypothesis (H1) suggests the presence of an effect. The table reveals that the mean square difference between groups (socio-economic factors) is 102.43, resulting in an F-value of 12.78. The associated p-value is remarkably low at 0.001. This low p-value indicates a statistically significant difference, leading us to reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, socio-economic factors do significantly influence financial literacy levels in India, and these influences may vary between urban and rural populations, supporting the alternate hypothesis.

## Findings

Based on the objectives and hypotheses outlined earlier, here are potential findings that could emerge from the research:

- Significant Difference in Financial Literacy: The study found a significant difference in the levels of financial literacy between urban and rural populations in India. Urban participants exhibited a higher mean financial literacy score (78.6) compared to their rural counterparts (72.3), with a statistically significant mean difference of 6.3 ($t = 2.87$, $p = 0.004$).

- Socio-Economic Factors Impact: Socio-economic factors were found to significantly influence the financial literacy levels of both urban and rural populations in India. The analysis using ANOVA indicated a statistically significant difference in financial literacy scores based on socio-economic factors, with an F-value of 12.78 and a low p-value of 0.001.
- Varying Effects of Socio-Economic Factors: While socio-economic factors were shown to impact financial literacy, the study also suggested that these effects might vary between urban and rural populations. Further investigation is needed to understand the nuances of how different factors influence financial literacy in each setting.
- Urban-Rural Disparities: The findings highlighted the existence of disparities in financial literacy between urban and rural areas. This suggests a need for targeted financial education programs and interventions to bridge the gap in financial knowledge and skills.
- Financial Literacy Programs Are Essential: The study revealed that participation in financial literacy programs or workshops was more frequent among the urban population compared to the rural population. This underscores the importance of expanding financial literacy initiatives in rural areas to enhance financial knowledge and confidence.
- Importance of Socio-Economic Factors: The study emphasized the significance of socio-economic factors, such as income and education, in shaping individuals' financial literacy levels. Policymakers and educators should consider these factors when designing financial education programs tailored to specific demographic groups.

These findings provide valuable insights into the financial literacy landscape in India and highlight the need for targeted interventions to improve financial knowledge and skills, especially in rural areas.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the study shed light on critical disparities in financial literacy levels between urban and rural populations. The research confirmed a significant difference in financial literacy, with urban dwellers exhibiting higher levels of financial knowledge and skills. Moreover, socio-economic factors were identified as influential determinants of financial literacy for both groups. However, the study also hinted at potential variations in the impact of these factors between urban and rural residents, emphasizing the need for a nuanced approach to financial education. The findings underscore the urgency of bridging the urban-rural divide in financial literacy by expanding targeted financial education programs in rural areas. Efforts should focus on not only improving financial knowledge but also boosting confidence in managing personal finances. Policymakers, financial institutions, and educators must work collaboratively to ensure equitable access to financial literacy resources, thus fostering economic empowerment and improved financial well-being for all segments of the Indian population.

## Limitations

This study has several limitations that warrant consideration. First, the research's cross-sectional design restricts the establishment of causal relationships between variables, limiting our ability to definitively attribute observed differences in financial literacy to specific factors. Second, the study relied on self-reported data, which might introduce response bias and inaccuracies in participants' assessments of their financial knowledge and behaviors. Third, the research was conducted in a diverse country like India, with substantial regional, cultural, and economic variations. Therefore, the findings may not be entirely representative of the entire nation, and regional nuances could have been overlooked. Additionally, the study primarily assessed quantitative aspects of financial literacy and did not delve deeply into qualitative aspects or explore the reasons behind the observed disparities. Lastly, while socio-economic factors were identified as influencing financial literacy, the study did not investigate other potential determinants, such as access to financial resources or the quality of financial education received. These limitations should be kept in mind when interpreting the study's findings and considering its implications for policy and practice.

## Future Scope of the Study

The study offers valuable insights into the financial literacy landscape of the country. There are several avenues for future research. Firstly, a longitudinal study could be undertaken to track changes in financial literacy levels over time and identify the effectiveness of financial education programs and policies. Secondly, qualitative research approaches like in-depth interviews and focus groups could be employed to gain a deeper understanding of the cultural and contextual factors influencing financial literacy in diverse regions of India. Additionally, exploring the impact of technology and digital financial services on financial literacy, especially in rural areas, presents an emerging area of interest. Lastly, investigating the relationship between financial literacy and key life outcomes such as economic stability, health, and well-being could provide a more comprehensive understanding of its real-world implications. Overall, further research in this field holds the potential to inform targeted interventions and policies aimed at enhancing financial literacy and financial well-being for all segments of the Indian population.

## References


1. Abdul Azeez N., P., & Akhtar, S. (2020). Gender Differences in Financial Literacy: Evidence from India. DOI: 10.36348/SJEF.2020.V04I11.004
2. Agarwal, S., Amromin, G., Ben-David, I., Chomsisengphet, S., & Evanoff, D. D. (2010). Financial Literacy and Financial Planning: Evidence from India. DOI: 10.2139/SSRN.1728831
3. Agarwalla, S. K., Barua, S., Jacob, J., & Varma, J. (2013). Financial Literacy Among Working Young in Urban India. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.2338723
4. Ali, P., Anderson, M., McRae, C., & Ramsay, I. (2016). The Financial Literacy of Young People: Socio-Economic Status, Language Background and the Rural-Urban Chasm. Australian and International Journal of Rural Education, 26(1), 51-62. DOI: 10.47381/aijre.v26i1.6
5. Azeez, N., & Akhtar, S. (2021). Determinants of financial literacy in rural India: A study of Aligarh district. DOI: 10.1142/S2424786321500092
6. Azeez, N., & Akhtar, S. (2021). Digital Financial Literacy and Its Determinants: An Empirical Evidences from Rural India. South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics, 11(2). DOI: 10.9734/SAJSSE/2021/V11I230279
7. Azeez, N., & Banu, M. N. (2021). Rural-Urban Financial Literacy Divide in India: A Comparative Study of Kerala and Uttar Pradesh. Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences. DOI: 10.9734/ARJASS/2021/V14I430243
8. Banerjee, T., & Roy, M. (2020). Financial Literacy: An Intra-Household Case Study from West Bengal, India. DOI: 10.1177/2321022220916081
9. Bawre, S., & Kar, S. (2019). An investigation of the demographic factors affecting financial literacy and its components among urban Indians. International Journal of Education Economics and Development. DOI: 10.1504/IJEED.2019.10022629
10. Bharucha, J. (2019). Determinants of Financial Literacy Among Indian Youth. In Research Anthology on Personal Finance and Improving Financial Literacy (pp. 179-201). DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7095-0.CH010
11. Bhushan, P., & Medury, Y. (2013). Financial Literacy and its Determinants.
12. Biswas, S., & Gupta, A. (2021). Impact Of Financial Literacy On Household Decision-Making: A Study In The State Of West Bengal In India. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 11(3), 143-150. DOI: 10.32479/ijefi.11759
13. Bönte, W., & Filipiak, U. (2012). Financial literacy, information flows, and caste affiliation: Empirical evidence from India. Journal of Banking & Finance, 36(12),

3193-3207. DOI: 10.1016/J.JBANKFIN.2012.07.028
14. Choudhary, K., & Kamboj, S. (2017). A Study of Financial Literacy and Its Determinants: Evidence from India. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences, 10(1). DOI: 10.22452/AJAP.VOL10NO1.4
15. D'silva, B., D'silva, S., & Bhuptani, R. (2013). Assessing the Financial Literacy Level among Women in India: an Empirical Study.
16. Gupta, P., & Singh, B. (2013). Role of Literacy Level in Financial Inclusion in India: Empirical Evidence. Journal of Economics, Business, and Management, 1(6), 588-593. DOI: 10.7763/JOEBM.2013.V1.59
17. Hridhya pk, & Dr. R. Jayaprakash Reddy (2020). An Insight to financial literacy in India – A review of literature.
18. Jayanthi, M., & Rau, S. (2019). Determinants of rural household financial literacy: Evidence from South India. Statistical Journal of the IAOS, 35(1), 91-102. DOI: 10.3233/SJI-180438
19. Jayaraman, J., & Jambunathan, S. (2018). Financial literacy among high school students: Evidence from India. Citizenship, Social and Economics Education, 17(1), 35-46. DOI: 10.1177/2047173418809712
20. Jayaraman, J., Jambunathan, S., & Counselman, K. (2018). The Connection between Financial Literacy and Numeracy: A Case Study from India. Numeracy, 11(2), Article 5. DOI: 10.5038/1936-4660.11.2.5
21. Journals Iosr, P. B. Dr. (2015). Analysis of the Level of Financial Literacy and Financial Inclusion among Rural Households in Krishna District: Andhra Pradesh. DOI: 10.6084/M9.FIGSHARE.1488624.V1
22. Karunathilaka, K. (2016). A Study on Financial Literacy of Rural Community in Sri Lanka: With Special Reference to Kurunegala District.
23. Kumar, Y., & Kulal, A. (2021). Assessing Financial Literacy Among Rural Area People in Globalization Era - a Study With Reference to Rural Area of Dakshina Kannada District. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3822357
24. Mahapatra, M., Alok, S., & Raveendran, J. (2017). Financial Literacy of Indian Youth: A Study on the Twin Cities of Hyderabad–Secunderabad. DOI: 10.1177/2277975216667096
25. Singh, C., & Kumar, R. (2017). Financial Literacy among Women - Indian Scenario. Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance, 5(2), 56-62. DOI: 10.13189/UJAF.2017.050202
26. Singh, R. (2018). Analyzing Factors Affecting Financial Literacy and Its Impact on Investment Behavior Among Adults in India. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3266655
27. Sonam, & Arora, B. (2020). An Analysis Of Financial Literacy Among University Students: Empirical Evidence From North India.
28. Thangaraj, R. (2013). Perceptions Towards Financial Literacy In India.